Journal of Biological Sciences and Public Health December, 2023, 1: 23-31

## Giraffokeryx Punjabiensis (Mammalia, Artiodactyla) New Remains from the Middle Miocene of Northern Pakistan

Amtur Rafeh<sup>a</sup>, Abdul Majid Khan<sup>a</sup>\*, Ayesha Iqbal<sup>a</sup>, Muhamad Tahir Waseem<sup>b</sup>, Sania Zubaid<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Zoology, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan; <sup>b</sup>Zoological Science Division, Pakistan Museum of Natural History, Islamabad

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** New dental remains of the family Giraffidae from the Middle Miocene Siwaliks (Chinji Formation) are described and discussed in this paper. **Methods:** The discovery includes isolated upper and lower teeth belonging to *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis*. The identification is based on comparative morphometry to already published data. **Results:** Along with the systematic paleontological data the article also provides the comparative data between the Siwalik *Giraffokeryx* species and other species of this genus reported from other geological regions of the world. This data is important as not much comparative data is available for various species of Giraffokeryx. **Conclusion:** The present study improves the existing knowledge about the geography, stratigraphy and paleo environment of this extinct giraffid species and also identifies points of discussion and confusion related to the species of the genus *Giraffokeryx*.

**Keywords:** Chinji Formation; Dental remains; giraffids; Middle Miocene; Siwalik

Corresponding author: Abdul Majid Khan Department of Zoology, University of Punjab Lahore, Pakistan. majid.zool@pu.edu.pk Article Information: **Received:** 31-01-2024 **Revised:** 6-05-2024 Accepted: 11-05-2024 Published: 24-06-2024 Author Contribution: AR: Data collection and analysis, Manuscript writing, editing, and approval. AMK: Supervision, Manuscript writing, editing, and approval. AI: Data collection, Manuscript writing, editing, and approval. MTW: Data analysis, Manuscript writing, editing, and approval. SZ: Manuscript writing, editing, and approval.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The Miocene was the time the giraffids first appeared in Africa (Romer, 1974; Gentry, 1994 and Harris et al., 2010) as it was the late Early Miocene of Africa, when extinct representative of the family Giraffidae first appeared in this region (Made and Morales, 2011). The next wave of change in giraffid diversity occurred in the Siwaliks of Indian Subcontinent and Kazakhstan during the Middle Miocene (Harris et al., 2010; Barry and Flynn, 1990; Vislobokova, 1997). According to Agustí and Antón (2002), the origin of the giraffids from the Alpine belt led to the variety of giraffid genera in Asia, which later spread to Europe and Africa. Part of this dispersion led to the late Middle Miocene giraffid records in Turkey and Greece (De Bonis et al., 1997; Gentry, 1990). Although the Late Miocene was the time giraffes reached a wide distribution and increased in taxonomical variety, their diversity decreased at the end of the Late Miocene (Godina, 1979). Despite this reduced Late Miocene diversity in Eurasia several species persisted in Africa (Harris, 1991; Harris et al., 2010). Overall, the migration pattern of the Miocene giraffids built on the reports of distribution (based on the data from Ramstein et al., 1997; De Bonis et al., 1997; Bhatti et al., 2012) is as follows.

## Africa → Europe → Asia → Africa

The discovered material of Giraffokeryx (an extinct genus of the family Giraffidae) included in this study belongs to the Middle Miocene of the Siwaliks of Pakistan, part of the South Asian region. As per available data, the Siwalik giraffid genus Giraffokeryx made its first appearances in Pakistan during the Early Miocene (Raza, 1997) and remained in Pakistan even during the Middle Miocene. The family Giraffidae had a scattered distribution in the Siwaliks but was one of the prominent ruminants that reported in the region. The first report on Siwalik giraffes was submitted by Cautley (1835), who mentioned the occurrence of Sivatherium giganteum from the Upper Siwaliks of the Indian Subcontinent. This discovery included the third cervical vertebra, which was longer than usual (other than giraffes) mammalian cervical vertebrae in the Siwalik. This discovery was followed by discoveries with other excavations vielding other giraffid remains reported by Falconer and Cautley (1843).

The first fossil giraffids in the Siwaliks of the Indian Subcontinent, with identification and naming of the genus *Giraffokeryx* was made by Pilgrim (1910, 1911) in his published work entitled "The Fossil Giraffidae of India" and was based on isolated teeth and mandibles discovered in the Chinji deposits of the Potwar Plateau, Pakistan. According to Bhatti et al. (2012a) the genus *Giraffokeryx* is represented not only in the Siwalik localities of Pakistan, India and Nepal but also in the Belomechetskaia deposits of Russia.

The giraffids of the Siwalik area can be classified into three subfamilies: Palaeotraginae, Sivatheriinae and Giraffinae. The studied species *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis* belongs to the subfamily Giraffokerycinae and is reported from the Middle Miocene Siwalik outcrops of Pakistan. The other species included in the genus *Giraffokeryx* are the Turkish *G. anatoliensis* (Geraads and Aslan, 2003) and the African *G. primaevus* (Churcher, 1970). Hamilton (1978) and Gentry (1994) considered *"Paleomeryx" primaevus* close to or identical to *Giraffokeryx*. After the discovery from Fort Ternan, Kenya, *P. primaevus* was included in *G*. *punjabiensis* by Gentry (1999). Both *G. punjabiensis* and *G. primaevus* are placed in Giraffokerycinae (Danowitz et al., 2015).

Giraffokeryx punjabiensis is included in the three reported Middle Miocene giraffid species of the Siwaliks of northern Pakistan (Barry et al., 2005; Matthew, 1929; Colbert, 1935; Khan et al., 2012). Giraffokeryx punjabiensis is considered as the earliest Middle Miocene species of giraffids and is reported from several localities of the Middle Miocene. The other two Middle Miocene species are Giraffokeryx chinjiensis and Giraffa Priscilla (Matthew, 1929; Colbert, 1935; Khan et al., 2012). The Middle Miocene Siwalik of Pakistan (Chinji Formation, Lat. 32° 41'N Long. 72° 22'E), type area is an area with a high number of fossil - rich localities and bright red colored deposits as its diagnostic feature. According to Barry et al. (2002) the chronological age of the Chinji Formation is 14.2-11.2 Ma. The detailed stratigraphy of the Chinji Formation of Pakistan and its geographic location are given in Khan et al. (2009) and Aftab (2015).

Abbreviations: DBAK, Dhok Bun Ameer Khatoon; PUPC, Punjab University Paleontological Collection, Lahore, Pakistan; AMNH, American Museum of Natural History; r, Right; l, left; P, Upper premolar; M, Upper molar; p, Lower premolar; m, Lower molar; mm, Millimeters; Ma, Million years ago.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The recently discovered material assigned to Giraffokeryx punjabiensis comprises isolated upper and lower dentition. The specimens were collected from the Chinji type locality and Dhok Bun Ameer Khatoon, Punjab, Pakistan (fig. 01), and were carefully cleaned off of any debris of clay and sandstone with the help of brushes, chisels and needles. An individual catalogue number was given to each specimen, starting with PUPC and included a serial number and the year of collection. The collected material has been housed in the Paleontology Laboratory of the Department of Zoology, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. The material was photographed by digital camera and measurements were taken by Vernier calipers to make the morphometric comparison of the recovered material to the data found in the available literature. The dental terminology used in the study follows Gentry (1994).

## Systematic Paleontology

Order Artiodactyla Owen, 1848 Suborder Ruminantia Scopoli, 1777 Infraorder Pecora Linnaeus, 1758 Superfamily: Giraffoidea (Gray, 1821) Simpson, 1931 Family Giraffidae Gray, 1821

Subfamily Giraffokerycinae Solounias, 2007

Genus Giraffokeryx Pilgrim, 1910

Type species *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis* Pilgrim, 1910

## **Species Diagnosis**

Major cusps in a straight line. Upper molars sub hypsodont and large with prominent parastyles and mesostyles, stylids weakly developed or absent. The entostyle/ectostylid is tiny or absent. The anterior rib is more prominent as compared to the posterior one (Pilgrim, 1910, 1911; Colbert, 1935; Bhatti, 2005).

## **Geographic Distribution**

South Asia and Greco-Iranian Province (Pilgrim, 1910; Colbert, 1935 Bhatti, 2005; Khan et al., 2010; Kostopoulos and Sarac, 2005).

## **Type Locality**

Lower Siwaliks and the lower portion of the Middle Siwaliks (Chinji – basal Nagri), District Chakwal, Province Punjab, Pakistan (fig. 01).

#### **Referred Material**

PUPC 17/332 (P3), PUPC 13/107 (P4), PUPC 13/327(M2), PUPC 94/75 (p3), PUPC 17/333 (p4)

## Localities

The fossils have been excavated from Chinji type locality (PUPC 17/332, PUPC 13/327, PUPC 94/75 and PUPC 17/333) and DBAK (PUPC 13/107)

## DESCRIPTION

**PUPC 17/332 (P3)** (fig. 2) is an isolated right upper premolar in the middle stage of wear. Trapezeshaped in occlusal view. Prominent buccal ribs present. Protocone and hypocone separated with a very weak lingual groove. Parastyle and mesostyle moderately developed. Lingual valley closed. **PUPC 13/107 (P4)** (fig. 2) is a broken fragment of the right upper fourth premolar with only protocone and hypocone preserved. The presence of a deep lingual valley and a very weak and slight anterior cingulum are the morphological features that can be clearly observed in this specimen.

**PUPC 13/327(M2)** (fig. 2) is an isolated upper molar from the left side of maxilla. It is in the very early stage of wear and well preserved but with the enamel broken on the metacone. Brachyodont tooth. Enamel thick and rugose. Median basal pillar absents. A prominent and high cingulum present on the antero-lingual side. Buccal cones are more in height than lingual ones. Parastyle and parastyle ribs moderately developed.

**PUPC 94/75 (p3)** (fig. 2) is a well-preserved lower premolar in middle wear. Lingual valleys open with narrow crowns. The paraconid is distinct and separated from the parastylid. The metaconid is higher than the paraconid which expands posteriorly. The entostylid is weakly developed. The hypoconid is projected with a deep valley in front of it.

**PUPC 17/333 (p4)** (fig. 2) is the left isolated lower premolar with a distinct conid pattern. The lingual valleys are closed. Buccal fold more pronounced on posterior side but not very deep. Long anterior lobe of protoconid is incompletely formed and reaches to hypoconid with a gap. A spur like structure present in anterior valley (valley closed due to strong lingual wall). Metaconid and hypoconid joined by strong bridge. Stylids and cingulids absent. Entoconid and hypoconid fused in a hook like fashion.

## COMPARISON

The studied specimens included in this article show the typical morphological features that were reported in the holotype of the genus *Giraffokeryx* reported by Pilgrim (1910, 1911). These features are: brachyodont dentition, weakly developed styles and stylids and less developed median ribs. The measurements of the studied samples have similarities to the measurements reported for the dental remains of *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis* (Table 1).

There are strong morphological resemblances but slight variations in the length and width of studied Siwalik specimens as compared to the already described specimens (from Samiullah et al., 2011) of the genus from the Siwaliks. The most concerning point here is the comparison of p4 to the specimens discovered from the Middle Miocene of central Turkey, published by Geraads et al. (1995). They assigned the specimens to *Giraffokeryx* sp. or

cf. G. punjabiensis. The morphology of both Siwaliks and Turkish p4 specimens of the genus are nearly identical, as both have pronounced buccal fold, the presence of a gap in protoconid and hypoconid, joined metaconid and hypoconid with a bridge and a hook-like appearance of entoconid and hypoconid. However, the p4 from the Siwalik outcrops is slightly longer than the Turkish specimen. The above discussion shows that the newly discovered specimens that are included in this study (fig. 02) closely resemble the reported dental features of Giraffokeryx punjabiensis. Although, there are slight variations in morphology in some reported specimens of the species but the measurements of the referred specimens are in line with those described by Colbert (1933) in AMNH 19587.

As the genus Giraffokeryx has three included species: Giraffokeryx punjabiensis "Palaeotragus" (Pilgrim, 1910), primaevus (Churcher, 1970); and Giraffokeryx anatoliensis (Geraads and Aslan, 2003), we can compare the referred material of G. punjabiensis with the other two species to give data on the interspecific dental variations within the Giraffokeryx (Table 2). There was a need for comparison because there was a lot of confusion previously in the identification of the included species e.g Giraffokeryx was identified initially in Turkey, by Pilgrim (1910), as G. punjabiensis. Later established giraffe species by Geraads and Aslan (2003) was G. anatoliensis. According to Geraads and Aslan (2003), the Siwalik specimens have many morphological variations which make the authors unable to place them in any single species. The same is indicated by the statement of Colbert (1935) that "the internal border of the third premolar may be closed or open" while all p3 have transverse crest (as described by Pilgrim, 1911). The other examples are: p4 large anterior lobe (Pilgrim, 1911) closed on the lingual side, while Colbert's specimens have no anterior lobes like Turkish specimens.

The major confusion that is identified in this comparative discussion is that *G. anatoliensis* was one of the species of *Giraffokeryx* that is identified based on *G. punjabiensis* as a type species but it differs from *G. punjabiensis*- in the size of its horns, its occipital bone and p3 oblique epicristid. *G. primaevus* is the third species of the genus *Giraffokeryx*. Churcher (1970) identified that the species *G. primaevus* is morphologically close to *G. punjabiensis*. However, Harris et al. (2010) specified some more differences between these two species (in addition to the comparison mentioned in table II). These additional differences are: choanae posterior in position than M3, separated lingual cuspid in p4, longer P2 and P3. The other major difference is the body size; it is large in *G. primaevus* which also closely resembles the genus *Giraffa* in respect to both the height of the body and of the limbs.

## DISCUSSION

For the construction of phylogeny, after Pilgrim's (1911) initial work, Matthew (1929), Colbert (1933, 1935) made a reconstruction of this genus. According to the observation of the size and shape of the skull Colbert (1935a) postulated, that *Giraffokeryx* was a small - sized giraffe with an elongated neck. Later, another reconstruction was made by Savage and Long (1986) which indicated this extinct genus was more like representative of the living giraffid genus, *Okapia* but with long necks and according to Mitchel et al. (2003), they occupied the forested niche and used it as a refuge against the food and shelter threats from the other species.

The three species included in the genus Giraffokeryx are compared above (Table 2) and many points of discussion exist due to variations in the samples recovered from the same area. For example, the *Giraffokeryx* material recovered from the Chinji Formation has many variations in tooth morphology. Similar is the case with the Pasalar (Turkish) specimens which were named as Giraffokeryx aff. punjabiensis by Gentry (1990), but later, another giraffe remains were unearthed and were identified as Giraffokeryx anatoliensis (Geraads and Aslan 2003). This species is known well from the Middle Miocene locality of Candır (Turkey) in the form of cranial and postcranial remains which are not hard to identify (Geraads and Aslan, 2003). The characteristic features of this species, as mentioned by Geraads and Aslan (2003), are: supraoccipital horns unfused with frontal bone in young animal; occipital shelf protruding; horns have inward curving with rounded tips; anterior lobe

in DP3 longer than *Palaeotragus*; premolars without entostyles almost without cingulum; moderate ribs and styles; molars with weak or absent ectostylid; complete loop of third lobe on m3 and the limb bones are not much helpful in creating distinction and hence phylogeny.

According to Hamilton (1978) and Gentry (1994) "Palaeotragus" primaevus is close to or identical to *G. punjabiensis*. They proposed that the palaeotragines were the first of the giraffe clade, to have migrated from North Africa to eastern Eurasia, including Turkey Greece and then to Iraq, Western Europe and India.

The confusion in dental morphology that particularly between "Palaeotragus" existed primaevus and G. punjabiensis indicates that they faced nearly the same environmental pressure in some specific time period. However, it may be that the said species were not present at the same time. The assumption made by Churcher (1970) is that "P". primaevus originated from Paleotragine stock which reached Africa by lowering of eustatic level across the Suez isthmus. This assumption creates the view that one of the species became extinct or might have migrated towards Africa to fill the niche of available resources. Also, Lönnig (2011) discussed the temporal range of the genus Giraffokeryx and the long range of "Palaeotragus", which overlaps with that of *Giraffokeryx* supporting the view that there are similarities in both species. This could be due to the similar environmental pressures where both genera overlapped. The existence of long limbs in "Palaeotragus" might become supportive for the genus to escape from the harsh conditions or perhaps reduction of predatory pressure due to more escaping power as compared to G. punjabiensis.

After the evolution of 20-30 species, there are two modern species of giraffids exist as: *Okapia* johnstoni, living in Democratic Republic of the Congo and *Giraffa camelopardalis* which is an inhabitant of Africa that makes discoveries of giraffids remains very much important.

According to Tariq and Jahan (2014), the comparison of dental measowear in Giraffa camelopardalis and G. punjabiensis indicates that G. punjabiensis is browser and seasonal mixed feeder. Few of the associated fauna of G. punjabiensis in the Middle Miocene (Conohyus Deinotherium pentapotamie, sindiensis, Sivapithecus sp., Gaindatherium browni and Brachypotherium perimense) is the characteristic identification of zone of the Chinji Formation (Sarwar, 1977 and Barry et al., 2002). The paleo environment of ungulates can be reconstructed based on the information of their diet. So, the restricted grazing and mixed feeding habit of G. punjabiensis and the variety of its kev contemporaneous fauna indicates that the Middle Miocene of Potwar Plateau had a forested environment as well as woodland and wooded paleo environment as also indicated by Damuth and Janis (2011).

## CONCLUSION

The morphometric analysis has indicated that referred newly discovered remains belong to *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis* and its study supports the already available literature on this species. In this paper, the morphological comparison between three reported *Giraffokeryx* species indicates similarities and differences among these species belonging to the same genus. This comparison indicates that further discoveries of the genus are required to make this comparison more comprehensive.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Institute of Zoology, University of Punjab for providing logistics.

# Statement of conflict of interest

None to declare.

#### Funding Statement

None.

## REFERENCES

Aftab, K., Z. Ahmad, M.A., Khan., & Akhtar, M. (2015). Additional *Giraffokeryx* Remains (Artiodactyla: Ruminantia: Giraffidae) from the Chinji Formation of Lower Siwaliks, Northern Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Zoology, 47(5), 1393-1403.

Agustí, J., & Antón, M. (2002). Mammoths, Sabretooths, and Hominids: 65 million years of mammalian evolution in Europe. Columbia University Press, New York, 313.

Barry, J. C., & Flynn, L. J. (1990). Key biostratigraphic events in the Siwalik sequence. In European neogene mammal chronology, 180, 557-571.

Barry, J.C., M. Morgan, L. Flynn, D. Pilbeam, A. Behrensmeyer, S.M. Raza, I. Khan, C. Badgley, J. Hicks & Kelley, J. (2002). Faunal and environmental change in Late Miocene Siwaliks of northern Pakistan. Palaeobiology, 28, 1-71.

Barry, J.C., S. Cote, L. Mac Latchy, E.H. Lindsay, R. Kityo, A.R., & Rajpar. (2005). Oligocene and early Miocene ruminants (Mammalia, Artiodactyla) from Pakistan and Uganda. Palaeontologica Electronica, 8, 1-29.

Bhatti, Z.H. (2005). Taxonomy, evolutionary history and biogeography of the Siwalik giraffids. Ph.D. thesis. University of the Punjab, Pakistan. 275.

Bhatti, Z. H., Khan, M. A., Akhtar, M., Khan, A. M., Ghaffar, A., Iqbal, M., & Siddiq, M. K. (2012). Giraffa punjabiensis (Giraffidae: Mammalia) from Middle Siwaliks of Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Zoology, 44(6), 1689-1695.

Bhatti, Z. H., Khan, M. A., Akhtar, M., Khan, A. M., Ghaffar, A., Iqbal, M., & Ikram, T. (2012). Giraffokeryx (Artiodactyla: Mammalia) remains from the lower Siwaliks of Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Zoology, 44(6), 1623-1631.

Churcher, C. S. (1970). Two new upper Miocene giraffids from Fort Ternan, Kenya, East Africa: Palaeotragus primaevus n. sp. and Samotherium africanum n. sp. Fossil vertebrates of Africa, 2, 1-106.

Colbert, E.H. (1933). A skull and mandible of Giraffokeryx punjabiensis. American Museum Novitates, 632, 1-14.

Colbert, E.H. (1935). Siwalik mammals in the American Museum of Natural History. Transactions of the American Philosophical Society, 26, 1-401.

Colbert, E. H. (1935). Distributional and phylogenetic studies on Indian fossil mammals. IV. The correlation of the Siwaliks of India as inferred by the migrations of Hipparion and Equus: American Mus. Novitates, 797, 1-15.

Damuth, J., & Janis, C.M. (2011). On the relationship between hypsodonty and feeding ecology in ungulate mammals, and its utility in palaeoecology. Biological Reviews. Cambridge, 86, 733-758.

Danowitz, M., A. Vasilyev, V. Kortlandt, N., & Solounias. (2015). Fossil evidence and stages of elongation of the *Giraffa camelopardalis* neck. Royal Society Open Science, 2, 150393.

De Bonis, L., Koufos, G.D., & Sen, S. (1997). A Giraffid from the Middle Miocene of the island of Chios, Greece. Palaeontology, 40, 121-133.

Falconer, H., & Cautley, P.T. (1843). On some fossil remains of *Anoplotherium* and giraffe, from the Siwalik Hills, in the North of India. Proceedings of the Geological Society of London, 4, 235 – 249.

Falconer, H., & Cautley, P.T. (1836). *Sivatherium giganteum*, a new fossil Ruminant genus from the valley of the Markanda in the Siwalik branch of the Sub-Himalayan Mountains. Asiatic Researches, 1-24.

Gentry, A.W. (1990). Ruminant's artiodactyls of Paşalar. Journal of Human Evolution, 19, 529-550.

Gentry, A.W. (1994). The Miocene Differentiation of the Old World Pecora (Mammalia). Historical Biology, 7, 115-158.

Gentry, A.W. (1999). Fossil pecorans from the Baynunah Formation, Emirate of Abu Dhabi,

United Arab Emirates. In: Fossil Vertebrates of Arabia (Eds., P.J. Whybrow and A. Hill), Yale University Press, New Haven, 290–316.

Geraads, D., Güleç, E., & Saraç, G. (1995). Middle Miocene ruminants from Inönü, Central Turkey. Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie – Monatshefte, 8, 462-474.

Hamilton, W.R. (1978). Fossil giraffes from the Miocene of Africa and a revision of the phylogeny of Girafoidea. Trans. Phil. R. Soci. London, 283, 165–229.

Harris, J., Solounias, N., & Geraads, D. (2010). Giraffoidea. In: the Cenozoic mammals of Africa (eds. L. Werdelin and W. J. Sanders University of California Press, Berkeley, CA, USA, 797-811.

Harris, J.M. (1991). Family Giraffidae. In Harris, J. M., (Ed.) Koobi for a Research Project. The fossil ungulates: Geology, fossil artiodactyls and paleo environments, 3, 93-138.

Khan, M.A., Akhtar, M, & Ikram, T. (2012). True ungulates from the Nagri type locality (Late Miocene), Northern Pakistan. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences, 1, 1-59.

Khan, M.A., Butt, S.S., Khan, A.M., & Akhtar, M. (2010). A New Collection of *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis* (Giraffidae, Ruminantia, Artiodactyla) from the Lehri outcrops, Jhelum, Northern Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Science, 62(2): 120-123.

Khan, M.A., Malik, M., Khan, A.M., Iqbal, M., & Akhtar, M. (2009). Mammalian remains in the Chinji type locality of the Chinji Formation: A new collection. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences, 19, 224-229.

Kostopoulos, D.S., & Sarac, G. (2005). Giraffidae (Mammalia, Artiodactyla) from the late Miocene of Akkasdagi, Turkey. In: Geology, mammals and environments at Akkasdagi, Late Miocene of Central Anatolia (ed. S. Sen). Geodivers, 27, 735-745.

Lönnig, W.E. (2011). The evolution of the longnecked Giraffe (*Giraffa Camelopardalis*: What do we really know?; Testing the theories of gradualism, macromutation, and intelligent design; a scientific treatise. Münster: MV-Verlag.

Made J.V., & Morales, J. (2011). *Mitilanotherium inexpectatum* (Giraffidae, Mammalia) from Huélago (Lower Pleistocene; Guadix-Baza basin, Granada, Spain) observations on a peculiar biogeographic pattern. Estu. Geoló, 67(2), 613–627.

Matthew, W.D. (1929). Critical observations upon Siwalik Mammals. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, 56, 437-560.

Mitchell, G., & Skinner, J.D. (2003). On the origin, evolution and phylogeny of giraffes, *Giraffa camelopardalis*. Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa, 58, 51-73

Pilgrim, G.E. (1911). The Fossil Giraffidae of India. Paeontology Indica, N.S, 4 (1), 1-29.

Ramstein, G., Fluteau, F., Besse, J., & Joussaume, S. (1997). Effect of orogeny, plate motion and land–sea distribution on Eurasian climate change over the past 30 million years. Nature, 386, 788–795.

Raza, S.M. (1997). Field Excursion Guidebook. Third GEOSAS Workshop on South Asia. Geological Survey of Pakistan, 2-1.

Romer, A.S. (1974). Vertebrate Paleontology, Chicago, University of Chicago press Chicago, 3, 1-687.

Samiullah, K., Akhtar, M., Ghaffar, A., & Khan, M.A. (2011). *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis* (Artiodactyla, Ruminantia, Giraffidae) from Lower Siwaliks (Chinji Formation) of Dhok Bun Ameer Khatoon, Pakistan journal of Science. And Technology, MSU. Thailand, 30(4), 62-76.

Sarwar, M. (1977). Taxonomy and distribution of the Siwalik Proboscidea. Bull. Department of Zoology, University of the Punjab. N.S, 10, 1-172.

Savage, R.J.G., & Long, M.R. (1986). Mammal evolution: an illustrated guide. London, British Museum (Natural History).

Tariq, M., & Jahan, N. (2004). Dietary evaluations and paleoecology of an extinct giraffid (*Giraffokeryx punjabiensis*) from Siwaliks of Pakistan. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences, 24(5), 1355-1365.

Vislobokova, I.A. (1997). Eocene-Early Miocene Ruminants in Asia. Mem. Trav. EPHE., Inst. Montpellier, 21, 215-223.

Sr. No.	Specimen No.	Туре	Length	Width
1	PUPC 17/332	P3	23.5	20.0
2	AMNH 19475*	P3	20.5	20
3	PUPC 08/33**	P3	23	21.5
4	PUPC 13/107	P4		
5	PUPC 17/327	M2	31.5	28.35
6	AMNH 19320*	M2	29	28.5
7	PUPC 08/28**	M2	30	29
8	PUPC 17/333	p4	24.7	15.35
9	PUPC 09/117**	p4	21.7	13.2
10	PUPC 94/75	p3	22.5	11.90
11	AMNH 19587*	p3	20.5	12
12	PUPC 08/95**	p3	22	13

	Table 1: Comparison	of measurements in	<i>G</i> .	punjabiensis	dental	remains from	the Siwaliks
--	---------------------	--------------------	------------	--------------	--------	--------------	--------------

\*Pilgrim (1910) Specimens are stored in collections of the American Museum of Natural History New York, USA, the Indian Museum, Kolkata, India; \*\*Samiullah *et al.* (2011).

Table 2. The comparison	of characters in the	• three included s	species of the	genus Giraffokervy
Table 2. The comparison	of characters in the	e un ce meiuueu s	species of the	genus On ajjoker ya

Character	G. punjabiensis G. anatolienssis		"Palaeotragus"	
			primaevus	
Horns				
Number	Two pairs	Two pairs	Two pairs	
Length	Longer	Less longer	Longer than G.	
			punjabiensis	
Orientation	More inclined	Less inclined		
Skull				
Breadth	Less broad	Less broad	More broad	
Occipital crest	less salient	more pronounced		
Dentition				
Туре	Brachyodont	Brachyodont	Brachyodont	
Styles/Stylids	Styles/stylids	Ectostylids		
	weak/absent	weak/absent		
Ribs	Anterior rib prominent	Weak ribs/absent		
Neck and limbs				
Elongation	Elongated		More elongated	
Dental formula	I?/? C?/? P3/ 3 M3/ 3 =	I 0/ 3 C0/2 P3/ 3 M3/	I 0/ 3 C0/ 1 P3/ 3	
	??.	3 = 33.	M3/ $3 = 32$ .	



**Figure 1:** Map of Potwar Plateau (Northern Pakistan), the study section labelled grey in the stratigraphic column at right hand (taken and modified from Barry et al., 2002) showing the chronostratigraphy of major formations of Siwaliks.



**Figure 2:** The newly discovered studied samples of *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis*; 1. PUPC 17/332 (P3), 2. PUPC 13/107 (P4), 3. PUPC 13/327(M2), 4. PUPC 94/75 (p3), 5. PUPC 17/333 (p4), a=occlusal view, b=lingual view, c= labial view. Scale bar is equal to 10 mm.